

**CYP Act 2014 - Feedback from table discussion
National Youth Justice Conference 2016**

Part 4 - Named Person

- Wellbeing concern vs child protection concern. Making sure that they follow the correct process.
- Suggestion that there requires to be larger pilot prior to 'go live' date.
- What is "proportionate" and "appropriate" information sharing. Areas may have very differing views on this.
- Who would the Named Person be for 16 and 17 year olds not in education?
- Unclear what will happen during the holiday period.
- Workers are unclear if it will 'go live' due to the judicial review.
- More training is required on the Named Person roles and responsibilities
- Unclear who the Named Person will be when the young person's immigration status is undecided.
- What will the EEI coordinator role be? Clarity required.
- Can a young person be referred to SCRA from EEI?
- Unclear what the interaction will be between the Named Person and Lead Professional.
- Are there conflicts/ issues with a corporate parent being a Named Person?
- How do we improve public perception and manage media issues?
- Move of Named Person responsibilities at emergency admissions to secure - these occasions cannot be planned.
- How will people know who the Named Person is?

Part 10 and 11 – Aftercare and Continuing Care

- Concerns in relation to the additional funding required to deliver this.
- In relation to Residential Schools, how can they continue to care for a young person beyond the age of 18 years old when their legislative responsibilities have ceased?

Part 5 – Child's Plan

- Unclear what the roles and responsibilities are around the Child's Plan.
- Requirement for local resources.

Other

- Requirement for information on parts of the Act, other than Named Person as the focus has been on Named Person.
- Requirement for a briefing paper highlighting the link between the CYP Act and CPP (community planning partnership), CJP (community justice partnerships), SOA (single outcome agreement) and CSP (coordinated support plan)

The majority of the information that people feel they still need to know is around the implementation of the Named Person Service. Despite this it was also noted there are gaps in the knowledge of practitioners in relation to other areas of the Act as the majority of the focus has been on the implementation of the Named Person.